1	MICHAEL G. RHODES (SBN 116127) (rhodesmg@cooley.com) MATTHEW D. BROWN (SBN 196972) (brownmd@cooley.com) BENJAMIN H. KLEINE (SBN 257225) (bkleine@cooley.com) KATHLYN A. QUERUBIN (SBN 275085) (kquerubin@cooley.com)			
2				
3	COOLEY LLP	icruome coolcy.com)		
4	101 California Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-5800			
5	Telephone: (415) 693-2000 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222			
6	Attorneys for Defendant LinkedIn Corporation			
7	JAY EDELSON (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) (jedel	son@edelson.com		
8	RAFEY S. BALABANIAN (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) (rbalabanian@edelson.com) ARI J. SCHARG (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) (ascharg@edelson.com) CHRISTOPHER L. DORE (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) (cdore@edelson.com) EDELSON LLC			
9				
10	350 North LaSalle, Suite 1300 Chicago, Illinois 60654			
11	Telephone: (312) 589-6370			
12	LAURENCE D. KING (SBN 206423) (lking@kaplanfox.com) LINDA M. FONG (SBN 124232) (lfong@kaplanfox.com)			
13	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP 350 Sansome Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-4700			
14				
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Putative Class			
16	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
18		Case No. 12-cv-03088-EJD		
19		STIPULATION AND PROPOSED		
20	IN RE LINKEDIN USER PRIVACY LITIGATION	ORDER REGARDING DEADLINES FOR MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND		
21		AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND		
22		RELATED BRIEFING		
23		Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila		
24		Action Filed: June 15, 2012		
25				
26				
27				
28	STIP. & PROPOSED ORDER RE BRIEFING SCHEDULE	CASE No. 12-cv-03088-EJD		

Plaintiff Khalilah Wright ("Plaintiff") and Defendant LinkedIn Corporation ("Defendant" or "LinkedIn") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel, respectfully stipulate and agree to a brief extension of deadlines for LinkedIn's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint, Plaintiff's opposition to the motion, and LinkedIn's reply in support of the motion. In support of this Stipulation, the Parties state as follows:

WHEREAS, on December 20, 2012, LinkedIn filed its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint (Dkt. 59), which this Court granted on March 6, 2013 (Dkt. 72);

WHEREAS, pursuant to this Court's March 6, 2013 Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiffs were granted leave until April 5, 2013 to amend their Complaint (Dkt. 72);

WHEREAS, on April 3, 2013, the Parties stipulated to an extension of time for Plaintiffs to file the Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint ("SAC"), to a deadline for LinkedIn to answer, move against, or otherwise respond to the SAC, and to a briefing schedule for any motion challenging the SAC, subject to Court approval to the extent required under applicable rules (Dkt. 75), and the Court so ordered the stipulated requests (Dkt. 77);

WHEREAS, under Civil Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2 the Court may extend the time for a party to complete any required act, and Civil Local Rule 6-1(a) provides that parties may stipulate in writing, without a Court order, to extend the time within which to answer or otherwise respond to a complaint, or to enlarge or shorten the time in matters not required to be filed or lodged with the Court, provided the change will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order;

WHEREAS, a number of scheduling conflicts have arisen for several of LinkedIn's inside counsel and outside counsel since the Parties' April 3rd stipulation regarding scheduling that warrant a brief extension of the current schedule, and Plaintiff is agreeable to such an extension;

WHEREAS, such an extension will not alter any scheduled hearing date;

1	WHEREAS, the Parties have conferred and agree to stipulate under Civil Local Rule 6-1(a		
2	to extend LinkedIn's time within which to respond to the SAC and, anticipating that LinkedIn will		
3	move to dismiss the SAC, to stipulate under Civil Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2 to set dates for		
4	Plaintiff's opposition and LinkedIn's reply;		
5	NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows, subject to Court		
6	approval as required for provision (2):		
7	(1)	LinkedIn's	s deadline to answer, move against, or otherwise respond to the SAC shall
8		be June 13.	, 2013.
9	(2)	If LinkedIr	n elects to respond to the SAC by filing a motion, then Plaintiff's deadline
10		to file an o	pposition shall be July 25, 2013, and LinkedIn's deadline to file a reply
11		shall be Au	agust 22, 2013.
12			EDELSON LLC
13	Dated: May 2	29, 2013	By: <u>/s/ Ari J. Scharg</u> Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14			Auomeys joi 1 iumijjs
15			COOLEY LLP
16	Dated: May 2	29, 2013	By: /s/ Matthew D. Brown Attorneys for Defendant LinkedIn Corporation
17			Thomeys for Defendant Linkeath Corporation
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

## 

#### [PAROPOSEDOX ORDER

#### PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May <u>30</u>, 2013

HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

### 

# 

#### ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I, Matthew D. Brown, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

Dated: May 29, 2013

/s/ Matthew D. Brown

1320325 v1/SF